21 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 22 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 23 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).) I C	Case 4:12-cv-00740-A Document 1 Fi	led 10/18/12	Page 1 of 10	PageID 1					
TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681p. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TOTAL A A HIT: 43 (Ft. Worth Division) CLERK OF COURT MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated; Plaintiff CASE NO. JUDGE JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR) PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC., Defendants TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 and FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 1: U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	111	PINAL		NORTH	NSTRICT COURT ERN DIST. OF TX					
MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated; Plaintiff CASE NO. JUDGE JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC., Defendants Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 1: U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	1	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT		OKTH DIVISION					
MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated; Plaintiff N. JUDGE JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC., Defendants Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 1: U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE AM !!: 43								
MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated; Plaintiff CASE NO. JUDGE JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC., Defendants MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 1: U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	3	(Ft. Wo	th Division)	CLER	K OF COURT					
of others similarly situated; Plaintiff v. JUDGE JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR) PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC., Defendants TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 and FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 1: U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	4	11								
JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR) PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC., Defendants ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 and FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 1: U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	5	of others similarly situated;	4 - 1	2 C.V -	740-4					
JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC., Defendants TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF FCRA. 15 U.S.C. § 1681 and FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	6	Plaintiff)	CASE NO							
FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR) PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC., Defendants TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 and FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	7	v.)	JUDGE							
PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC., Defendants TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 and FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 1: U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	8									
Defendants TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF FCRA. 15 U.S.C. § 1681 and FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 13 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	9		MEDCLR)							
ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 and FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 1: U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	10									
Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 1: U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	11)	TRIAL BY	Y JURY DEMA	ANDED					
Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 1: U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	12	ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF								
behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d). and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	13									
SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 13 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	14	Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., individually and as a private attorney general on								
PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC. 18 19 20 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d). 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 20	15	behalf of others similarly situated hereby brings this lawsuit against Defendants, JOHN								
JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	16	SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR								
JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	17	PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC.								
1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d). 21 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 22 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	18	HIDISDICTION	ON AND VENU	T r						
21 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 22 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 23 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	19									
22 2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 13 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	20	1. The jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d),								
3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 15. U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).	21	and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.								
3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).		2. Venue is proper in this District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.								
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division). 4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 13 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).		3. Venue is proper in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE								
4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000. 5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 1: U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).		NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Ft. Worth Division).								
5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).		4. This is an action for damages which is less than \$25,000.								
28 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and the FCRA15 U.S.C. § 1681p(1) and (2).		5. This complaint is brought within the statute of limitations as set forth in the FDCPA 15								
DAVIS v SCHWAB, et al	28									
,, ·		DAVIS v SCHWAB, et al			Page 1					

6. All conditions precedent to the bringing of this action have been performed, waived, or excused.

PARTIES

- 7. The Plaintiff in this lawsuit is MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., a natural man, who resides in Parker County, Texas.
- 8. The Defendants in this lawsuit are JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC with an address of 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, PA at 19044 with a telephone number of (888) 495-8352.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- This is an action for damages for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA)
 U.S.C. § 1681 and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) 15 U.S.C. §
 1692.
- 10. Plaintiff contends that the Defendants, a collection agency, has violated said laws by obtaining, willfully and negligently, his consumer credit reports illegally, without a permissible purpose, and knowingly reporting false information to the credit reporting agencies.
- 11. Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., is a consumer as defined within the meaning of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
- 12. Defendant's, SCHWAB, ET AL, are debt buyers/collectors as defined within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

13. A consumer report is a communication of information as defined within the FCRA, 15U.S.C. § 1681a(d).

- 14. The term "Communication" means the conveying of information regarding a debt directly or indirectly to a person through any medium as stated within the FDCA, 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(2).
- 15. The FCRA defines the permissible purpose for which a person may obtain a consumer credit report at 15 U.S.C. § 1681b.
- 16. EQUIFAX is a credit reporting agency within the definition of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
- 17. As defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681b permissible purposes are generally as if the consumer makes application for credit, if the consumer makes application for employment, if the consumer makes application for insurance which would require underwriting, or if the consumer is offered a bona fide offer of credit as a result of the inquiry and none of these situations occurred and there is no evidence to the contrary.
- 18. Plaintiff has never had any business dealings or "account"s, as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1683a(2), with, made application for credit from, made application for employment with, applied for insurance with, or received a bona fide offer of credit from said Defendants and there is no evidence to the contrary.
- 19. Upon belief and information, Plaintiff contends that these practices are widespread across the country. Therefore, Plaintiff is suing as a private attorney general on behalf of all others similarly situated. Plaintiff intends to propound discovery to said Defendants identifying these other individuals who have suffered similar violations of the FCRA and the FDCPA. Plaintiff intends to seek attorney's fees from said

Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC., as a private attorney general. (The "private attorney general" concept holds that a successful private party plaintiff is entitled to recovery of his legal expenses, including attorney fees, if he has advanced the policy inherent in public interest legislation on behalf of a significant class of persons. <u>Dasher v. Housing Authority of City of Atlanta, GA, D.C.Ga.</u>, 64 F.R.D. 720, 722; <u>Graziano v. Harrison</u> 950 F.2d 107; <u>deJesus v. Banco Popular de Puerto Rico</u>, 918 F.2d 232, 235 (1st Cir. 1990); <u>Pipiles v. Credit Bureau of Lockport</u>, 886 F.2d 22, 28 (2d Cir. 1989); <u>Emanuel v. American Credit Exchange</u>, 870 F.2d 805, 809 (2d Cir. 1989); See also <u>Equal Access to Justice Act.</u>)

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 20. On or about **May 17, 2011** Plaintiff obtained his consumer credit report from the credit reporting agencies Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian. Plaintiff found an entry on the **Equifax** report connected to said Defendants that was unknown and/or not authorized by the Plaintiff.
- 21. Plaintiff discovered after examining the consumer credit reports that the Defendants, SCHWAB, et al had obtained his consumer credit report during the month of **April 2009**.
- 22. On or about **July 24, 2012** Plaintiff mailed to the Defendants a certified letter (# 7010 1670 0002 5084 7789) regarding Intent To Sue. This letter was an attempt to honorably settle this matter and to mitigate the damages to the Defendant. The USPS records indicate that the letter was delivered to the Defendants at 8:31 am on July 27, 2012.

- 23. On or about **August 7, 2012** Plaintiff received a letter from a Dawn M. Rhodenbaugh, Consumer Affairs Representative III, on behalf of John Schwab indicating "the above referenced account is closed in our office." The **egregious violation of Plaintiff's privacy** had already occurred.
- 24. On or about **August 8, 2012** Plaintiff mailed to said Defendants a certified letter (# 7010 1670 0002 5084 7895) regarding Notice of Pending Lawsuit. This second letter was an attempt to allow the Defendants an opportunity to honorably settle this **egregious violation of Plaintiff's right to privacy** and to mitigate the damages and costs.

<u>COUNT 1</u> <u>VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT</u> (FDCPA) 15 U.S.C. § 1692

- 25. Paragraphs 1 through 24 are realleged as though herein set forth.
- 26. Defendants obtained Plaintiff's consumer credit report under false and misleading representations violating the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692e(2).
- 27. Defendants used deceptive means in an attempt to collect an alleged debt by obtaining Plaintiff's consumer credit report violating the FDCPA § 1692e(10).
- 28. Defendants utilized Unfair Practices in the attempt to collect an alleged debt therefore violating the FDCPA § 1692 f.
- 29. Defendants failed to adequately validate the alleged debt violating the FDCPA, § 1692g.
- 30. **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff demands judgment for statutory damages of \$1,000 from each Defendant under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2)(A).

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT</u>

(FCRA) 15 U.S.C. § 1681n

- 31. Paragraphs 1 through 24 are realleged as though set forth herein.
- 32. Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC., willfully failed to comply with the FCRA at 15 U.S.C. § 1681n by obtaining Plaintiff's consumer credit report from Equifax without permissible purpose as set forth in 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(f).
- 33. Plaintiff has reviewed all of **the limited and specific circumstances** that would grant said Defendants permissible purpose to obtain Plaintiff's consumer credit report under 15 U.S.C. § 1681b and **none** applied to the Defendants which is an <u>egregious violation</u> of Plaintiff's right to privacy.
- 34. At no time have Defendants ever indicated what justification they may have had for obtaining Plaintiff's consumer credit report. The Defendants had a duty to properly ascertain if there was any legitimate permissible purpose prior to obtaining Plaintiff's consumer credit report and the Defendant breached said duty by failing to do so. There does not exist an account with the Defendant that provides them a right to collect to have a permissible purpose to obtain Plaintiff's consumer credit report and therefore Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages for **BREACH OF SAID DUTY**.
- 35. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment for statutory damages of \$1,000 for each violation against each Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, attorney's fees of \$3,000 (see ¶ 19), costs, punitive damages, and other such relief determined by the Honorable Court.

<u>COUNT III</u> <u>VIOLATIONN OF THE FCRA 15 U.S.C. § 16810</u>

36. Paragraphs 1 through 24 are hereby realleged as though set forth herein.

- 37. Defendants, JOHN SCHWAB, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP, LLC., negligently failed to comply with the FCRA § 16810 by obtaining Plaintiff's consumer credit report without permissible purpose as set forth in 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(f).
- 38. Plaintiff has never given said Defendants consent, implied or otherwise, to acquire Plaintiff's consumer credit report from any credit reporting agency.
- 39. Plaintiff has submitted several communications (See ¶¶ 22-24) to Defendants indicating an "Intent to Sue" and "Pending Lawsuit" as a good faith effort to reach a settlement for the violations in obtaining Plaintiff's consumer credit report prior to filing a civil lawsuit against Defendants. The Defendants counsel replied via an email and stated that because the alleged debt was of a medical nature that gave them a permissible purpose for illegally obtaining the consumer credit report of the Plaintiff. Plaintiff does not owe Defendant's any money for any alleged debt.
- 40. The actions of the Defendants obtaining the Plaintiff's consumer credit report with no permissible purpose or the specific consent of the Plaintiff is a negligent violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. 1681 b and is an egregious violation of Plaintiff's right to privacy. The Plaintiff is entitled judgment in his favor for costs and fees for the violations stated.
- 41. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment for each violation against each

 Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16810, attorney's fees of \$3,000 (see ¶ 19), costs,

 and other such relief as determined by the Honorable Court.

COUNT IV

VIOLATION OF FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT (FCRA), 15 U.S.C. §1681
WILLFUL NON-COMPLIANCE BY SAID DEFENDANTS

- 42. Paragraphs 1 through 24 are realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 43. Plaintiff, MAYFORD K. DAVIS, JR., is a consumer within the meaning of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
- 44. Defendants, SCHWAB ET AL, are furnishers of information within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2.
- 45. Equifax is a credit reporting agency within the definition of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
- 46. Consumer credit report is a consumer report as defined in the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).
- 47. Defendants violated FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(f)(2) as there was no <u>proper</u>
 <u>certification</u> as required which is an **egregious violation of Plaintiff's right to privacy.**
- 48. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment for damages in the amount of \$1,000 for each violation against each Defendant, SCHWAB ET AL, for actual or statutory damages, and punitive damages, attorney's fees of \$3,000 (see ¶ 19), and costs, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against said Defendants, for damages, statutory damages of \$12,000, punitive damages where applicable, attorney's fees of \$9,000 (see ¶ 19), costs, and other relief as determined by the HONORABLE COURT pursuant to 15 U.C.S. § 1681n(a)(3), § 1681o, and § 1692k.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff hereby demands a <u>Trial By Jury</u> of all triable issues as a matter of law.

Respectfully submitted on this 18th day of October, 2012.

216 Canaelot Drive

(817) 768-7459

Weatherford, Texas 76086

mdcherokee44@gmail.com

DAVIS v SCHWAB, et al

Page 9

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

the erri deciret sheet: BEE HI		OF THIS FORM.)			育提出	MERN DIST DU 15		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFEND	ANTS	FORT	WORTH DIVISION		
DAVIS, MAYFORD K., JI		JOHN SCHWAB, PRESIDENT, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,						
		INOVISION-MEDCLR PORTFOLIO GROUP LEG AND INC.			PIL48 AMII: 42			
					- '			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff P	ARKER	County of Re	esidence of First Lis	ted Defendant	IONTGOMERY		
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)		(IN U.S.	PLAINTIFF CASES (MAN UF COURT		
			NOTE:	IN LAND (CONDEMNATION C	ASES, USE THE LOCATION OF		
			1	THE TRAC	CT OF LAND INVOL	VED.		
			1					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	")	Attorneys (1)	f Known)				
				400	IT _ PY A	Λ _ Λ ·		
			4-	12C	V - 1 4	U - A		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" i	in One Pow Only)	III CITIZENSHIE	OF PRINCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)		
	,	п Опе вох Отуј	(For Diversity Case	es Only)	AL I AKTIES	and One Box for Defendant)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Vot a Pauni)	Citizen of This State	PTF DEF □ 1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pri	PTF DEF		
·	(O.S. Government)	voi a r ariy)	Citizen of This State	0, 0,	of Business In This			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State		Incorporated and P			
Detendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	•		of Business In A	another State		
			Citizen or Subject of a	3 3 3	Foreign Nation	6 6		
			Foreign Country					
IV. NATURE OF SUIT								
CONTRACT	TO	RTS	FORFEITURE/PEN	ALTY BA	NKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR			eal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -				400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	□ 690 Other	281	USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPE	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce		
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury		□ 820 Cop		☐ 460 Deportation		
☐ 151 Medicare Act☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted☐	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona	1	☐ 830 Pate		 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 		
Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product	ı.	□ 640 Irac	lemark	3 480 Consumer Credit		
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability	LABOR		LSECURITY	490 Cable/Sat TV		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER				□ 850 Securities/Commodities/		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud371 Truth in Lending	Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Re		ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	☐ 740 Railway Labor A		D Title XVI	891 Agricultural Acts		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	751 Family and Medi		(405(g))	☐ 893 Environmental Matters		
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage	Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litis	4:		☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act		
	Med. Malpractice	Product Liability	790 Other Labor Ent.	gation		☐ 896 Arbitration		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	NS Security Act		AL TAX SUITS	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure		
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 510 Motions to Vacat	e		es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act/Review or Appeal of		
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	Sentence			Defendant) —Third Party	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of		
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:			USC 7609	State Statutes		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATIO	iN				
290 All Other Real Property			ner 462 Naturalization A	pplication				
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	550 Civil Rights555 Prison Condition	☐ 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee					
	Other	560 Civil Detainee -	(Prisoner Petition	1)				
	☐ 448 Education	Conditions of	465 Other Immigration	on				
	L	Confinement	Actions		•			
V. ORIGIN (Place of	ın "X" in One Box Only)							
		Remanded from	J 4 Reinstated or □ 5	Transferred from	☐ 6 Multidistri	ict		
Proceeding Sta		Appellate Court	Reopened	another district (specify)	Litigation	*		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdic	ctional statutes unless	diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		<u>681 & FDCPA 15 L</u>	JSC 1692					
	Brief description of ca		sumer credit reports/	filina falaa infara	action to aradit r	conorting agonaics		
VII. REQUESTED IN						if demanded in complaint:		
•	UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION	21,000.00		•	•		
COMPLAINT:	······································		21,000.00		JURY DEMAND:	🕱 Yes 🗇 No		
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)								
PENDING OR CLOS	SED: (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE		CIONIATION OF THE	TOD TELL OF SECOND					
~ 1		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD	111	_ 1			
Oct. 18,201	2		mayford	Kitt	evs In	•		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- // //		17			
FW0 18002	ن سر سے ملہ	.)	- /					
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT 9 350 ·	APPLYING IFP	π	UDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE		